

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/883,279	06/19/2001	Shinobu Tanaka	Q63518	1528
7	590 07/15/2003			
SUGHRUE MION ZINN			EXAMINER	
	ania Avenue, NW		FOX, CHARLES A	
Washington, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3652 DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/883,279	TANAKA, SHINOBU				
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and	Charles A. Fox	3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the t	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 A	A <i>pril 2003</i> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-9</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 &amp; 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	ion No. <u>09/411,256</u> .				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/883,279

Art Unit: 3652

This application has been reassigned to examiner Charles A. Fox.

### Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains more than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The second switching state is first said to enable lifting and lowering of the forks of a forklift truck. See the passage starting on line 10 starting with "that lifts..." and ending on line 11 with "in a second switching state". The next passage of the claim states that the lifting and lowering of the fork is not enabled while the switch is in the second switching state. Since the specification is not enabling for the second switching state to both enable and disable the lifting and lowering of the forks, it is not possible for the examiner to determine the intended scope of the claim. Claim 2 is also rejected as being dependent upon an unenabled claim. As claim 1 is not able to be examined at this

Application/Control Number: 09/883,279

Art Unit: 3652

time, claim 2 is also unable to be examined as its scope indeterminate as well.

Therefore claims 1 and 2 have not been treated on the merits at this time.

### Response to Amendment

The amendments filed on April 24, 2003 have been entered into the record.

## Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claim 3 has allowable subject matter, namely the relationship between the operating lever, a switch and a controller, and how they combine to generate a signal for a control valve. The previously cited prior art of Momota does not teach or suggest the combination of features as claimed in independent claims 1 and 3.

Claims 4-9 are dependent upon claim 3 and are in condition for allowance.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on April 24, 2003 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent 6,273,669 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/883,279

Art Unit: 3652

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Charles A. Fox whose telephone number is 703-605-

4294. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:00-5:00 Monday, Tuesday,

Thursday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached at 703-308-3248. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326

for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1113.

CAF

7-14-03

EILEEN D. LILLIS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 4